

BLM – California State Office

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1b (ii) – The response does not match other BLM field offices; applicant may want to revise.
- #1b (iii) – The response does not match other BLM field offices; applicant may want to revise.
- #7c – Narrative does not support the response with regards to “Barriers and or signing” and “Education programs...”.
- #8a – Narrative does not support the response with regard to “free literature”.
- #8b – Narrative does not support response and does not match other BLM field offices.
- #8c – Narrative does not support the response.
- #8d – Narrative does not support response and does not match other BLM field offices.
- #12a & b – Narrative does not support the response
- #13 – Narrative does not support the response and does not match other BLM field offices.

Ground Operations – CenCal Bat
Monitoring – Mines in OHV Areas

G10-01-07-G01

Project Description

- A – Statement of GO activity is not clear; applicant should clearly articulate purpose of project.

Project Cost Estimate

- No Comment

Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to “Providing traffic control...” and “Maintaining multi use”. The project appears to be only Bat monitoring in the mines. • #4 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to “Meeting(s) with stakeholders” and “...identify the dates of the meetings or calls”. • #6 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to “Maintaining physical barriers...”. • #7 – Project description does not support the response. The project does not include barriers or signage. • #9 – Project description does not support the response.

Ground Operations – Desert Tortoise Monitoring – Johnson Valley Area	G10-01-07-G02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No Comment 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contracts – Other – Contracted Field Survey Team – item appears excessive; applicant may want to provide additional information. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to “Potential trespass”. • #3 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to “Providing traffic control...” and “Maintaining multi use”. The project appears to be only Desert Tortoise monitoring. • #4 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to “interested parties” and “...identify the dates of the meetings or calls”. • #5 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to how each partner(s) will be utilized in the project. • #6 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to “Protecting cultural sites”. • #7 – Project description does not support the response. The project does not include maps. • #9 – Project description does not support the response. 	

Ground Operations – Bird Monitoring – Sonoran Desert near OHV	G10-01-07-G03
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C –Applicant should identify number of acres in project area. 	

Project Cost Estimate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Comment
Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> #3 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to “Providing traffic control...” and “Maintaining multi use”. The project appears to be only bird monitoring. #4 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to “...and identify the dates of the meetings or calls.” #6 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to “Protecting water quality”. #7 – Project description does not support the response. #9 – Project description does not support the response.

Planning – OHV Air Quality Monitoring, West Mojave Desert	G10-01-07-P01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">No Comment	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Staff – Applicant may want to provide additional information on staff activities in project description.Other – Travel – Applicant may want to provide additional information.	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">#3 – Project description does not support the response. The project does not appear to lead to improved facilities.#7 – Narrative does not support the response with regards to “Project will develop management plan...”, “Project will complete environmental review...” and “Project will develop a system...”.#8 – Narrative does not support the response.#10 – Narrative does not support the response.	

Restoration – Pacific Crest Trail Planning	G10-01-07-R02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">No Comment.	

Project Cost Estimate
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – Project Manager-CASO OHV Lead appears to be an indirect cost. • Contracts – Crew 4x4 Vehicle may be more appropriate under “Equipment Use Expense”; applicant may want to revise. • Contracts – Crew Housing Near Work Area appears to be part of the “Restoration Crew” contract; applicant may want to revise. • Equipment Purchases – Other-GPS – cost appears excessive; applicant may want to provide additional information.
Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Project description does not support the response. The project appears to involve only planning for restoration. • #3 – Project description does not support the response. The reason for the project appears to be “Planning efforts...” • #4 – Project description does not support the response. The project appears to involve only planning for restoration. • #7 – Narrative does not support the response with regard to “public input”. • #10 – Narrative does not support the response. • #11 – Project activities do not indicate any areas will be restored.